ASSESSING THE POTENTIAL OF COMPOSTING SLUDGE

GENERATED FROM WATER TREATMENT PLANT



BY

M.J. FATHIMA RAWZANA

A 1 8 NOV LULS



DEPARTMENT OF BIOSYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY

FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY

EASTERN UNIVERSITY

SRILANKA

2023

ABSTRACT

Most water treatment plants produce large amounts of sludge resulting from drinking water treatment processes such as flocculation and filtration. As disposal of the sludge is becoming expensive and difficult because of the limited available land for disposal and the high landfill tipping fee, beneficial use options have been proposed for the materials. For applications where the sludge is placed in direct contact with the environment, concerns have been raised by regulators concerning the chemical characteristics of the sludge and potential risks to humans and the environment. To address this concern, drinking water sludge must be properly characterized for chemical and physical compositions and find out alternative ways to utilize it for agricultural purposes. For this study purpose, the sludge was collected from the Vavunathivu drinking water treatment plant from February 2023 and analyzed physical and chemical parameters by adopting standard analytical methods.

Sludge, a byproduct of wastewater treatment plants, is a valuable material for composting because of its high nutritional content of the material. It is important to define the physical and chemical features of sludge before using it in composting to verify its acceptability for usage. The purpose of this study is to present a summary of sludge characterization techniques, such as, pH, EC, moisture content, temperature, and nutrient content such as N,P,K and Total Carbon that can be used to evaluate sludge quality for composting. Sludge characterization is a crucial step in determining the suitability of sludge for compost making.

When considering the results, the color was darkened with the weight range of sludge. Samples had a finer texture than regular compost. The pH values were increased with

i

the sludge rate. All the 5 treatments were within the standard pH range (5.5-8.0). The EC of composts showed high variations respectively,

The study also stresses the significance of following adequate handling and safety measures when utilizing sludge in composting and evaluates the potential risks involved. Based on the findings of this study, sludge may be a useful resource for composting; nevertheless, proper characterization and monitoring are required to guarantee the compost's safety and quality.

Key words: sludge, water treatment plant, flocculation, compost, pH, EC, Total Carbon.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACTi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTiii
TABLE OF CONTENTSiv
LIST OF TABLES
LIST OF FIGURES
ABBREVIATIONix
CHAPTER 01
INTRODUCTION
1.1 Background1
CHAPTER 02
REVIEW OF LITERATURE6
2.1 Water Treatment Plant
2.1.1 Water Treatment Plants worldwide7
2.1.2 Water Treatment Plants in Sri Lanka
2.2 Water Treatment Sludge
2.2.1 Sludge Disposal
2.2.2 Sludge Management
2.2.3 Sludge Treatment and Management Methods13
2.2.4 Water Treatment Plant Sludge characterization15

2.2.5 Nutrient Contents of water treatment sludge 1	17
2.3 Compost	17
2.3.1 Compost Processing Types 1	18
2.4 Factors that Controlling the Composting Process	23
2.4.1 Carbon/Nitrogen Ratio2	23
2.4.2 Moisture Content	24
2.4.3 Temperature	24
2.4.4 Aeration	25
2.4.5 pH2	25
2.4.6 Electrical Conductivity (EC)	26
2.5 Sludge based Compost Uses in Agriculture	26
CHAPTER 03	28
MATERIALS AND METHOD	28
3.1 Description of the Study Area	.8
3.2 Sample Collection	8
3.3 Preparation of Bins	9
3.3 Experimental Design	0
3.4 Experimental Materials	1
3.4.1 Water Treatment Plant Sludge	2
3.5 Experimental Procedure	2
3.5.1 Laboratory Procedures	2
3.5.2 Composting Experiment Method	4

3.5.3 Physico-chemical Analysis
3.6 Statistical Analysis
CHAPTER 04
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
4.1 Changes of physical and chemical properties parameters during composting. 37
4.2 Variation of Temperature
4.3 Variation of Moisture Content (MC%)
4.4 Variation of pH41
4.5 Variation of EC
4.6 Chemical characteristics of final compost
CHAPTER 05
CONCLUSION
REFERENCE
APPENDIX

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Microproperties and Macroproperties of sludge (source: (Dharmappa,
Hasia, and Hagare, 1997)16
Table 3.1: Details of Treatment 30
Table 3.2: Characterization of raw WTS 32
Table 4.1: Variation of Temperature among different treatments from 1st week to
6th week
Table 4.2: Variation of MC among different treatments from 1st week to 6th week 39
Table 4.3: Variation of pH among different treatments from 1st week to 6th week . 41
Table 4.4: Variation of EC among different treatments from 1st week to 6th week . 43
Table 4.5: Nutrient content of compost initial to final stage

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Process alternative for sludge treatment and disposal 15
Figure 3.1: Sample collection 29
Figure 3.2: Plastic bin
Figure 3.3: Layout of the experimental design
Figure 3.4: Experimental area
Figure 4.1: Variation of temperature among different treatments from 1st week to 6th week
Figure 4.2: Variation of MC among different treatments from 1st week to 6th week
Figure 4.3: Variation of pH among different treatments from 1st week to 6th week
Figure 4.4: Variation of EC among different treatments from 1st week to 6th week