

**COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ECTOPARASITES IN  
SOME ECONOMICALLY IMPORTANT FINFISHES  
FROM TWO LOCATIONS OF THE BATTICALOA  
LAGOON**

BY

**SURAIYA ABDUL RAHMAN**

**A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED FOR THE PARTIAL FULFILMENT  
OF THE SPECIAL DEGREE COURSE**

**IN  
ZOOLOGY**

PERMANENT REFERENCE

**FACULTY OF SCIENCE  
EASTERN UNIVERSITY  
SRI LANKA  
1999**



Project Report  
Library - EUSL

PROCESSED  
Library - EUSL

Approved by

36759

.....  
**Dr P VINOBABA  
SUPERVISOR  
DEPT. OF ZOOLOGY  
EASTERN UNIVERSITY  
SRI LANKA**

Date...*15<sup>th</sup> May 2000*.....

.....  
**Dr P VINOBABA  
HEAD / DEPT. OF ZOOLOGY  
EASTERN UNIVERSITY  
SRI LANKA**

Date...*15<sup>th</sup> May 2000*.....

*201.4.0614.158  
Rah*

## ABSTRACT

The parasite fauna of some economically important fish caught at two locations in the Batticaloa lagoon was investigated in their natural habitat. The infection levels of the two localities were surveyed for a period of four months in relation to the existing climate and water quality parameters such as the concentration of Dissolved Oxygen (DOC), Nitrate and phosphate ions.

Location -1 is near Kallady Bridge where the salinity (15.25ppm) and pH (7.8) was higher and is a comparatively clean environment. Location-2 is in Kattankudy where the salinity (9.5) and pH(5.8) was lower. A considerable amount of domestic sewage dumping takes place here.

The ecology of parasitic fauna of the following fish were studied: *Etrophus suratensis*, *E. maculatus*, *Triacanthus brevirostris*, *Oreochromis mossambicus*, *Lutianus fulviflamma*, *L. decussatus*, *Leognathus splendens*, *Siganus* sp, *Hemirhamphus* sp, *Mugil cephalus*, *Chanos chanos*, *Pertica filamentosa*, *Tachysurus* sp, *Sillago acuta*, *Pleuronectus* sp. etc.

From these hosts two protozoans, two monogeneans, one digenëan, one acanthocephalon and four crustaceans were identified. *E. suratensis* was found to harbour *Trichodina* sp, *Ichthyophthirius* sp, *Ceylanotroma* sp, *Enterogyrus* sp and *Ergasilus* sp; *O. mossambicus* was found to harbour *Caligus* sp; *P. filamentosa* was found to harbour *Ceylanotroma colombensis*, *Dermoergasilus* sp and a digenean. *Siganus* was the host for *Ergasilus* sp; *Lutianus fulviflamma* had *Ceylanotrema* sp and *E. seiboldi*; *L. decussatus* was infected with *Echinorhynchus* sp and *E. seiboldi*. *Trachysurus* was infected with *Trichodina* sp.

There was a difference between the concentration of nitrates and phosphates at the two localities. But there was significant difference in the salinity and pH between the locations. The pH and salinity at location-2 was higher than at location-1. Among the parasites found at both localities there was no significant difference between the location in the abundance of *Trichodina* sp, *Ichthyophthirius* sp in *E. suratensis* and

*Acanthocephalon* in *Tachysurus* sp. But there was significant difference between the location in the abundance of *E.parvitergum* in *E.suratensis*.

The host specificity and the host size preference of the parasites were also studied. Specificity to the host was assessed by comparing the parasitic fauna in a particular species of fish that lives in the same location.

In general there was positive correlation observed between the parasite infection levels and the fish size. The site preference of the gill parasites were also studied and found to vary according to species. A histological study was carried out to assess the potential pathogenicity of the most common parasites found.



## CONTENTS

### ABSTRACT

<b>1.0</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	01
1.1	General	01
1.2	Prospects of aquaculture	01
1.3	Sri Lanka and its aquatic resources	02
1.4	The Batticaloa lagoon and its resources	02
1.5	The fish parasitological studies in Sri Lanka	03
1.6	Aim and objectives	05
<b>2.0</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	06
2.1	Sampling procedure	06
2.2	Parasitic survey	06
2.3	Preservation and description of parasites	08
2.4	Ecological survey	09
2.5	The macro habitat effect on the parasite species composition and parasite infestation levels	10
2.6	The micro habitat effect on the parasites	11
<b>3.0</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	13
3.1	Ectoparasite survey	13
	1 Protozoa	14
	A Family: Ophrioglenidae Kent, 1882, emend	14
	B Family: Urceoloriidae Stein Kahn 1932	14
	2 Monogeneans	15
	Family: Dactilogyridae Bychowsky, 1933	15
	3 Digenia	18
	Family: Waratrematidae Srivastava, 1937	18
	4 Crustacea	20
	A Family: Ergasilidae, 1859	20
	B Family: Caligidae	25
3.2	Ecological aspects	28
	The macro habitat effect on the parasite species composition and parasite infection levels.	29
	a. Location	29
	b. Seasonal variation	35
3.2	B The micro habitat effect on the parasites	36
	a. Host preference	36
	b. Age preference	36
	c. Size preference	36
	d. Site preference	36
3.2	C The effect of fishing gears in determining the parasitic population in the catch	37

4.0	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	46
4.1	Ecto parasites found in the study	46
4.2	Ecological aspects	
	A The microhabitat effect on the parasite species composition and parasite infection levels	47
	a. Location	47
	b. Seasonal variation	49
	B The micro habitat effect on the parasites	50
	a. Host preference	50
	b. Age preference	51
	c. Size preference	51
	d. Site preference	52
	e. Pathology	53
4.2	C The effect of fishing gear in determining the parasite population in the catch	55
5.0	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	56
6.0	<b>REFERENCES</b>	57